

# CONFLUX

## JOURNAL OF EDUCATION

ISSN 2320-9305 (PRINT) ISSN 2347-5706 (ONLINE)

---

A PEER REVIEWED JOURNAL PUBLISHED SINCE 2013

VOLUME 12

ISSUE 2

JUNE 2024

---

[cjoe.naspublishers.com](http://cjoe.naspublishers.com)

## INDEX

Sl.	Title	Page
<b>1</b>	<b>Integration of ICT in Teacher Training Institutions: A Transformation in the Paradigm of Teacher Education</b> Aamir Majeed and Prof. Syedah Fawzia Nadeem	<b>6-15</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Awareness on Utilization of Community Resources in Teaching Chemistry at Secondary School Level</b> A.Devi and Dr.T.Kanakaraj	<b>16-24</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Teachers Professional Development and Job Satisfaction</b> Ashish Kumar	<b>25-30</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Teacher’s Professional Development in Online Social Networking Sites</b> Cathrine Asha S. and Dr. Blessing Mary	<b>31-39</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Life Style and Attitude towards Sustainable Development Goals among Prospective Teachers at Secondary Level</b> Sreevidya R. and Dr. Mubi K. Mohamed Ali	<b>40-57</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Socio-emotional Skills among Secondary School Students of Standard Nine in terms of Gender and Demographic Location of Udupi District</b> Rose Kiran Pinto	<b>58-71</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Blended Learning: A Vision for the Future</b> Dr. Fatma Gausiya	<b>72-87</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>A Study on Perception of Student Teachers on Professional Development</b> Dr. Manju N.D	<b>88-103</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Emerging Need of Blended Learning</b> Dr. Pratibha Khare	<b>104-115</b>

<b>11</b>	<b>Role of Fourth Industrial Revolution and Education 4.0 on Sustainable Development in Education</b> Dr. Seema Gopinath	<b>116-124</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Efficacy of Indigenous Rhymes in Developing Student Engagement and Motivation</b> Dr. Prithi Venkatesh, Ms. Sreevidya MS, Ms. Nisha A, Mr .Srinivasa R	<b>125-139</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Transforming Education: Evaluating The Implementation and Effects of the 2020 Education Policy</b> Dr. Veena Khilnani	<b>140-146</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Educational Significance of Inclusion in Reducing Juvenile Delinquency-Need for Multi-dimensional Approach</b> Dr.C.B.Vikram	<b>147-165</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>From Exclusion to Excellence: Overcoming Obstacles to Inclusion in Schools</b> Dr. Rajeshwari Garg	<b>166-176</b>
<b>16</b>	<b>Impact of Artificial Intelligence Enabled Technological Pedagogical Implications in Higher Education</b> Dr.S.Ammami, Dr.M.Anita, Ananya	<b>177-186</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>The Effect of Artificial Intelligence on Research Methodology</b> Dr. Taiyaba Nazli	<b>187-194</b>
<b>18</b>	<b>Empowering Educators: Navigating Continuous Professional Development In Alignment With NEP 2020 In India.</b> Jagirdar Lubna Batool and Dr. Syed Azaz Ali	<b>195-206</b>
<b>19</b>	<b>Inevitability of Assessment and Feedback Strategies in the Teaching- Learning Continuum</b> Jasmine. J	<b>207-214</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>Vital Role of Teachers, Parents and Students as a Joint Venture in Inclusive Education</b> Mrs. Jyothi H.D.	<b>215-221</b>

21	<b>Humour as an Effective Teaching Strategy</b> Madan Kumar	222-229
22	<b>Significance of Inclusive Education in Fostering Social Justice in India</b> Mamta and Nivedita	230-243
23	<b>An Investigation of the Gender Disparity in the Teaching Abilities of Trainee Teachers</b> Mrs. Mirza Humaira Batul	244-256
24	<b>Enhancing Learning of Students through Formative Feedback in Higher Education</b> Monal	257-267
25	<b>Exploring the Preparedness for LMS among Teacher Educators and B.Ed. Students in the Current Education Scenario</b> Dr.M.Ponnambaleswari and Aswathy C.K.	268-281
26	<b>The Family Environment Promotes Student Engagement and Motivation</b> N. Revathy and Dr. A. Blessing Mary	282-285
27	<b>Transformative Education Reforms: Catalyzing Social Justice in India</b> Pooja and Nivedita	286-294
28	<b>Exploring Participatory Pedagogy for Cultivating Inclusivity in Classroom</b> Prabhleen Saini	295-307
29	<b>Transforming Education: A Journey Towards Transgender Inclusion</b> Prakasha C.	308-320
30	<b>Impact of Competency Based Education on Learning Outcomes</b> Prashasti Singh and Dr. Kalpana Thakur	321-333

<b>31</b>	<b>An Introduction of Inclusive Education</b> Rajesh Kumar Pathak	<b>334-343</b>
<b>32</b>	<b>E- learning: Impact on Psychosocial Competence</b> Ms. Rajwinder Kaur and Dr. Gagandeep Kaur	<b>344-353</b>
<b>33</b>	<b>Computer-Based Programmes to Improve Communication with Children with Special Needs: Cerebral Palsy</b> Ramesh	<b>354-363</b>
<b>34</b>	<b>Nurturing Students Engagement: An Educator’s Role in Fostering Autonomy, Competence, and Relatedness in Teaching-Learning Process</b> Saroj Rani	<b>364-388</b>
<b>35</b>	<b>Life Style and Attitude towards Sustainable Development Goals among Prospective Teachers at Secondary Level</b> Sreevidya R. and Dr. Mubi K. Mohamed Ali	<b>389-405</b>
<b>36</b>	<b>Perception of Pre-service Teachers towards Blended Learning in Teaching-learning Process</b> Ghazala Parveen	<b>406-429</b>

**Exploring the Preparedness for LMS among Teacher Educators and B.Ed. Students in the  
Current Education Scenario**

Dr.M.Ponnambaleswari  
Research Guide, RV Teachers College (IASE)  
Research Centre in Education  
Bengaluru City University

Aswathy C.K.  
Research Scholar, RV Teachers College (IASE)  
Research Centre in Education  
Bengaluru City University

**Abstract**

In the dynamic landscape of education, the integration of technology has become an imperative, reshaping traditional teaching and learning paradigms. Among the transformative tools that have garnered significant attention is the Learning Management System (LMS). As educational institutions strive to embrace digital advancements, understanding the awareness and perceptions of key stakeholders, particularly Teacher Educators and Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.) students, is crucial for successful implementation. This research contributes to the broader discourse on educational technology adoption by shedding light on the specific dynamics within the realms of teacher preparation and student education. The findings of this study reveal that there is significant differences observed in LMS preparedness, awareness, personal engagement levels, and perceived challenges between Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students. This offers valuable insights for educators, administrators, and policymakers seeking to enhance the effectiveness of LMS utilization in fostering a technologically enriched and responsive educational environment.

Through this comparative analysis, researcher, contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding the intersection of technology and education, paving the way for informed decision-making and sustainable advancements in the field.

*Keywords:* learning management system (LMS), teacher educator, B.Ed. students

## **Introduction**

In recent years, technology has emerged as a transformative force in the field of education, revolutionizing traditional teaching and learning methods. The integration of technology in education brings about numerous advantages, such as increased accessibility, enhanced engagement, and improved educational outcomes. As the world becomes increasingly interconnected and information-driven, educational institutions recognize the need to equip students and educators with the skills necessary to navigate and thrive in a digital landscape.

### **Brief Overview of the Increasing Importance of Technology in Education**

Technology in education goes beyond the mere use of computers; it encompasses a wide array of tools and platforms designed to enhance the learning experience. From interactive smartboards and educational software to online resources and collaborative platforms, technology offers diverse opportunities for creating dynamic and interactive learning environments. The growing importance of technology in education is underscored by its ability to cater to diverse learning styles, facilitate personalized learning experiences, and prepare students for the demands of a technology-driven workforce.

## **Significance of Learning Management Systems (LMS) in Facilitating Remote and Blended Learning**

Learning Management Systems (LMS) play a pivotal role in reshaping the educational landscape, particularly in the context of remote and blended learning. LMS serves as a centralized platform that enables educators to manage course content, deliver instructional materials, track student progress, and facilitate communication in a virtual space. This becomes especially crucial in situations where physical classrooms are not feasible or when a blended learning approach, combining both in-person and online elements, is adopted.

In the context of blended learning, LMS acts as a bridge between face-to-face and online components. It enables the seamless integration of in-person classroom activities with online resources, ensuring a cohesive and flexible learning experience. The adaptability of LMS to different learning modalities enhances educational accessibility and addresses the diverse needs of students.

## **The Role of Teacher Educators and B.Ed. Students in Adapting to Technological Advancements**

Teacher educators and Bachelor of Education (B.Ed.) students play a critical role in the successful integration of technology in education. As technology continues to evolve, it is imperative for educators to stay abreast of the latest developments and incorporate relevant tools into their teaching practices. Teacher educators, in particular, bear the responsibility of preparing future educators to harness the potential of technology for effective instruction.

Teacher educators should actively engage in professional development opportunities that



focus on technological literacy, pedagogical strategies for technology integration, and the ethical use of digital resources. By modeling tech-savvy practices, teacher educators can inspire B.Ed. students to embrace technology as an integral part of their future classrooms.

### **Objectives**

1. To find out whether there is significant difference between the scores of Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students in terms of LMS preparedness.
2. To evaluate whether there is significant difference in awareness of Learning Management Systems (LMS) between Teacher Educators and B.Ed. Students.
3. To assess whether there is significant difference in personal engagement levels with LMS between teacher educators and B.Ed. students.
4. To find out whether there is significant difference in perceived challenges and concerns regarding LMS adoption among Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students.

### **Hypotheses**

1. There is no significant difference between the scores of Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students in terms of LMS preparedness.
2. There is no significant difference in awareness of Learning Management Systems (LMS) between Teacher Educators and B.Ed. Students.
3. There is no significant difference in personal engagement levels with LMS between teacher educators and B.Ed. students.
4. There is no significant difference in perceived challenges and concerns regarding LMS adoption among Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students.

## Literature Review

The integration of technology in education has gained prominence due to its potential to enhance accessibility, engagement, and outcomes (Prensky, 2008). Digital tools, including LMS, provide opportunities for dynamic and interactive learning environments, catering to diverse learning styles (Bates, 2015). LMS serves as a centralized platform for managing course content, delivering instructional materials, and facilitating communication in virtual spaces (Dabbagh & Kitsantas, 2012). Particularly in the context of blended learning, LMS acts as a bridge between in-person and online components, ensuring a cohesive learning experience (Graham, 2006). Teacher educators play a pivotal role in the successful integration of technology in education (Mishra & Koehler, 2006). The preparation of future educators involves developing technological literacy, pedagogical strategies for technology integration, and ethical use of digital resources (Jacobsen & Lock, 2004). Resistance to change and lack of technological readiness among educators can hinder the effective adoption of LMS (Ertmer, Ottenbreit-Leftwich, & Tondeur, 2015). Issues such as technical support, training, and concerns about privacy and security may impact the willingness of educators to embrace LMS (Al-Azawei, Parslow, & Lundqvist, 2017). Student engagement is a critical factor in the success of LMS adoption (Lizzio, Wilson, & Simons, 2002). LMS facilitates personalized learning experiences, offering flexibility and accessibility that align with the needs of a diverse student population (Bower, 2016). Studies have indicated variations in the awareness and preparedness levels for LMS among educators and students (El Mansour & Mupinga, 2007). Training and professional development significantly impact educators' readiness to adopt and utilize LMS effectively

(Graham, 2011).

The literature reviewed underscores the transformative potential of LMS in reshaping education and the critical roles of teacher educators and B.Ed. students in this process. Gaps in awareness, challenges in adoption, and the importance of training programs emerge as recurrent themes. This study contributes to the ongoing dialogue by focusing on the specific dynamics within teacher preparation and student education, aiming to provide valuable insights for educators, administrators, and policymakers.

### **Methodology**

To investigate the preparedness for LMS among teacher educators and B.Ed. Students in the current education scenario, data was collected through survey method.

### **Tool**

A survey questionnaire was developed to collect data from teacher educators and B.Ed. students to study about their preparedness for LMS. The questionnaire included a total of 15 yes or no items under three dimensions. Each dimension with five items.

Dimension 1: Familiarity with LMS Concepts: This dimension focuses on figuring out how well teacher educators and B.Ed. students know about Learning Management Systems (LMS) in education. To understand if they know what LMS is, if they can tell the difference between different LMS platforms, understand the basic things these systems can do, and recognize why it's beneficial to use LMS in education. In simple terms, checking how familiar they are with the basic concepts of LMS.

Dimension 2: Personal Engagement with LMS: This part looks at how teacher educators and students have personally interacted with Learning Management Systems (LMS). The researcher is interested in finding out if they've actively used LMS for teaching and learning—things like making and using educational content on these platforms, giving and taking assessments and online discussions, or helping students interact with LMS tools. Also, to know if they've used LMS to keep an eye on how students are doing and track their progress. Essentially, checking how much they've practically worked with LMS.

Dimension 3: Challenges and Concerns: This dimension deals with the issues and worries that teacher educators and students might run into when it comes to using Learning Management Systems (LMS). To know if they've faced practical problems like technical glitches or difficulties in accessing LMS. Also exploring if they've felt resistance from colleagues or students, expressed concerns about privacy or security, or felt like their technology skills might not be up to the task. Additionally, checking if they believe that using LMS might lower the overall quality of the course. Basically, looking at the challenges and concerns they might have when using LMS.

These three dimensions collectively provide a comprehensive perspective on teacher educators' and B.Ed. student's awareness, engagement, integration, and concerns regarding Learning Management Systems as a teaching and learning tool. The responses to the questionnaire items within each dimension help in assessing their readiness and proficiency in utilizing LMS effectively in their teaching and learning practices.

## **Sample**

The questionnaire was distributed to teacher educators and B.Ed. students from different teacher education institutions all over India employing convenient sampling. A total of 50 teacher educators and 50 B.Ed. students were considered for this study.

## **Data Collection**

The questionnaire was administered online using the Google forms and participants were asked to respond to questions related to their preparedness for LMS.

## **Data Analysis**

The data analysis for this study involved conducting a t-test to compare the scores of two groups, namely teacher educators and B.Ed. students. The t-test is a statistical method used to determine if there is a significant difference between the means of two groups. In this case, the focus is on comparing the scores related to preparedness for Learning Management Systems (LMS) between teacher educators and B.Ed. students.

## **Results and Discussion**

The data collected from the Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students were analysed. t test was done to find out whether there is any significant difference between the mean scores of the two groups. The t-test was chosen as it is suitable for comparing the means of two independent groups, providing insights into whether any observed differences are statistically significant.

The null hypotheses (H<sub>0</sub>) for each dimension and overall scores typically state that there is no significant difference between the scores of Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students in terms

of LMS preparedness.

Objective 1: To find out whether there is a significant difference between the scores of Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students in terms of LMS preparedness.

Hypothesis 1: There is no significant difference between the scores of Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students in terms of LMS preparedness.

### **Results:**

The t-test results revealed a 7.12 t-statistic.

The tabled value at 98 degrees of freedom is 1.660.

As the obtained t value is greater than the tabled value at the significance level ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), the null hypothesis is rejected.

### **Discussion:**

The rejection of the null hypothesis indicates that there is a significant difference between the scores of Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students in terms of LMS preparedness.

This finding highlights the need for tailored strategies and support systems to address the varying levels of preparedness observed between the two groups.

Objective 2: To evaluate whether there is a significant difference in awareness of Learning Management Systems (LMS) between Teacher Educators and B.Ed. Students.

Hypothesis 2: There is no significant difference in awareness of Learning Management Systems (LMS) between Teacher Educators and B.Ed. Students.

### **Results:**

The t-test results yielded a 6.45 t-statistic with 98 degrees of freedom.

The tabled value at 98 degrees of freedom is 1.660.

As the obtained t value is greater than the tabled value at the significance level ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), the null hypothesis is rejected.

### **Discussion:**

The rejection of the null hypothesis indicates that there is significant difference in awareness of LMS between Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students.

This implies that both groups have different levels of awareness regarding the concepts and functionalities of Learning Management Systems.

Objective 3: To assess whether there is a significant difference in personal engagement levels with LMS between teacher educators and B.Ed. students.

Hypothesis 3: There is no significant difference in personal engagement levels with LMS between teacher educators and B.Ed. students.

### **Results:**

The t-test results showed a 7.159 t-statistic.

The tabled value at 98 degrees of freedom is 1.660.

As the obtained t value is greater than the tabled value at the significance level ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), the null hypothesis is rejected.

### **Discussion:**

The -rejection of the null hypothesis suggests that there is significant difference in personal engagement levels with LMS between teacher educators and B.Ed. students.

Both groups seem to have different levels of direct involvement and interaction with Learning Management Systems.

Objective 4: To find out whether there is a significant difference in perceived challenges and concerns regarding LMS adoption among Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students.

Hypothesis 4: There is no significant difference in perceived challenges and concerns regarding LMS adoption among Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students.

### **Results:**

The t-test results showed a 7.342 t-statistic.

The tabled value at 98 degrees of freedom is 1.660.

As the obtained t value is greater than the tabled value at the significance level ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), the null hypothesis is rejected.

### **Discussion:**

The rejection of the null hypothesis implies that there is a significant difference in perceived challenges and concerns regarding LMS adoption between Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students.

This finding underscores the importance of addressing unique challenges and concerns specific to each group for effective LMS integration.



In summary, the t-test results provide valuable insights into the significant differences observed in LMS preparedness, awareness, personal engagement levels, and perceived challenges between Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students. These findings contribute to the ongoing discourse on educational technology adoption, emphasizing the need for targeted interventions and support mechanisms based on the distinct needs identified in the analysis.

### **Conclusion**

The study's outcomes carry practical implications for educational institutions and policymakers. Tailored professional development programs, support mechanisms, and interventions should be designed to address the identified differences in LMS preparedness, awareness, engagement, and challenges among Teacher Educators and B.Ed. students.

Future research endeavors could delve deeper into understanding the factors contributing to these observed differences. Investigating the impact of targeted interventions on narrowing the gaps in preparedness and engagement levels could offer valuable insights for educational technology integration.

In summary, this study contributes to the ongoing discourse on educational technology adoption by providing insights into the distinct dynamics within the realms of teacher preparation and student education. The identified differences underscore the need for strategic approaches to enhance LMS utilization, fostering a technologically enriched and responsive educational environment.

### **References**

Al Lily, A. E., Abunasser, F. M., & Alhazmi, A. (2013). Investigating the attitudes of higher

- education students towards learning management systems: A case study from Saudi Arabia. *Contemporary Engineering Sciences*, 6(29), 1609-1618.
- Al-Azawei, A., Parslow, P., & Lundqvist, K. (2017). Barriers and opportunities of learning management system adoption in higher education: A case of Saudi Arabian universities. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*.
- Bates, A. W. (2015). *Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning*. Tony Bates Associates Ltd.
- Borup, J., West, R. E., & Graham, C. R. (2012). Improving online social presence through asynchronous video. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 15(3), 195-203.
- Bower, M. (2016). Decoding digital pedagogy: The digital demands of networked publics. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 41(1), 19-38.
- Dabbagh, N., & Kitsantas, A. (2012). Personal Learning Environments, social media, and self-regulated learning: A natural formula for connecting formal and informal learning. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 15(1), 3-8.
- El Mansour, B., & Mupinga, D. M. (2007). An investigation of the factors influencing students' motivation to participate in online courses. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 3(2), 57-67.
- Ertmer, P. A., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T., & Tondeur, J. (2015). Teachers' beliefs and uses of technology to support 21st-century teaching and learning. In *International handbook of research on teacher beliefs* (pp. 403-418).
- Graham, C. R. (2006). Blended learning systems: Definition, current trends, and future directions. In *Handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs* (pp. 3-

21).

Graham, C. R. (2011). Theoretical considerations for understanding technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK). *Computers & Education*, 57(3), 1953-1960.

Jacobsen, D. M., & Lock, J. V. (2004). Technology integration: The teachers' perspective. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 31(4), 413-434.

Lizzio, A., Wilson, K., & Simons, R. (2002). University students' perceptions of the learning environment and academic outcomes: implications for theory and practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 27(1), 27-52.

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017-1054.

Prensky, M. (2008). The 21st-century digital learner. *Educational Technology*, 48(6), 17-24.

Tondeur, J., van Braak, J., Sang, G., Voogt, J., Fisser, P., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. (2016). Preparing pre-service teachers to integrate technology in education: A synthesis of qualitative evidence. *Computers & Education*, 96, 101-115.